

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/519,746	12/29/2004	Noboru Maesono	HONJ 106NP	9188
23995 RABIN & Ber	7590 05/21/200 rdo PC	EXAMINER		
1101 14TH ST		BLOUNT, ERIC		
SUITE 500 WASHINGTO	ON. DC 20005		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	,		2612	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			05/21/2008	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

٦	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/519,746	MAESONO ET AL.	
Ī	Examiner	Art Unit	
١	ERIC M. BLOUNT	2612	

	ERIC M. BLOUNT	2612							
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address									
THE REPLY FILED 25 April 2008 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APP	PLICATION IN CONDITION FOR A	LOWANCE.							
1. \(\times \) The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavt; or other evidence, or other evidence, or displaces the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:									
a) The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date	of the final rejection.								
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expires later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.									
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MerPe 706.07(f).									
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropriat	e extension fee						
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the feet. The appropriate extension fee under 3° CFR 1.7(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any amend patent term adjustment. See 3° CFR 1.70(4).									
NOTICE OF APPEAL	F 11 07 0FD 44 07	The state of the factor of the state of the							
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(a)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).									
AMENDMENTS	tallit the time period sectoral in 57	51 TC 4 1.57 (u).							
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, I	but prior to the date of filing a brief,	will not be entered be	cause						
(a) ☐ They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);									
(b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo									
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or									
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a	corresponding number of finally reje	cted claims.							
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).									
4. 🔲 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).									
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):									
 Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s). 									
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided. 		be entered and an e	xplanation of						
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:	rided bolow or apportage.								
Claim(s) allowed:									
Claim(s) objected to:									
Claim(s) rejected: Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:									
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE									
8. The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the date of filing a No	tice of Appeal will no	be entered						
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and									
was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).									
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to c showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	vercome <u>all</u> rejections under appea	l and/or appellant fail	s to provide a						
showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1). 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.									
REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER									
11. ☐ The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:									
See Continuation Sheet.									
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).									
13. Other:									
/George A Bugg/ Acting SPE of Art Unit 2612	Eric M. Blount								
ACTING OF E OF ALL OTHE 2012	Examiner								

Art Unit: 2612

Application No.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Applicants' argument that Gelbid does not disclose a vehicle controller, examiner is entitled to give imitation its broadest reasonable interpretation. As such, the VDP of Gelbid reasonably reads on a vehicle controller as discussed in the previous rejections of the claims. As for a predetermined data storage, examiner contends that at some point during programming the system, an engineer must specify what data is to be stored. Using this reasoning, any data stored in the system is preselected. With regard to applicants' statement that "Mrs. Smith selected jewely from her jewely box and wore it to the party" would not be undertood as meaning that Mrs. Smith wore all the jewelry that was present in her jewelry box and were it to the party" would not be undertood as meaning that Mrs. Smith from selecting all of the jewelry box and were all the jewelry in the rejevelry box and wearing it to a party. If Mrs. Smith did indeed selecting all of the jewelry from the box, the statement would remain a true statement. As for the data collection controller, in the final rejection of the claims examiner reasons that input/output devices (5) read on the data collection controller. Reference number (5) includes a keyboard data entry, and a card reader for data entry, and downloading, as well as other input/output devices. These components reasonably read on and provide for all of the intention of 1725/2008. Expanding its undertook and intention as a presented for examination. Please refer to the final rejection mailed 07125/2008. Expanding its unweight of the claims diministions as presented for examination. Please refer to the final rejection mailed 07125/2008. Expanding its unweight of the claims diministions as presented for examination. Please refer to the final rejection mailed 07125/2008. Expanding its unweight of the claims diministions are presented for examination. Please refer to the final rejection mailed 07125/2008. Expanding it